



Federal Communications Commission
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau
Washington, D.C. 20554

MAY 19 2005

Control No. 0500931-DRO

03-123/
CGB

The Honorable Chris Van Hollen
U. S. House of Representatives
51 Monroe Street, Suite 507
Rockville, MD 20850

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JUN 08 2005

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Congressman Van Hollen:

Thank you for your letter of April 25, 2005, to the Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC), on behalf of your constituent, Ms. Merime Ahmed, expressing her concerns regarding Video Relay Service (VRS), a form of telecommunications relay service (TRS). Your correspondence was forwarded to the Commission's Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau for handling.

Ms. Ahmed's letter states that she sometimes has to wait 20 minutes to connect with a VRS operator and expresses the desire to use one piece of videophone equipment to contact several VRS providers.

Ms. Ahmed's concerns relate to two issues currently being considered by the FCC: interoperability of equipment used to access VRS and the "speed of answer" for VRS. On February 15, 2005, the California Coalition of Agencies Serving the Deaf and Hard of Hearing filed a Petition for Declaratory Ruling on Interoperability, requesting that the FCC prohibit any VRS provider that receives compensation from the Interstate Telecommunications Relay Service (TRS) Fund from purposely restricting its deaf and hard-of-hearing customers to a single VRS provider via the software or hardware of their VRS equipment or through exclusivity agreements with those customers. On March 1, 2005, the FCC released a Public Notice (DA 05-509) seeking public comment on this issue. Comments were due April 15, 2005, and reply comments were due May 2, 2005. The issue of interoperability will be addressed in a future order.

The Commission understands and shares Ms. Ahmed's concern over the amount of time sometimes needed to reach a VRS communications assistant. There is currently no speed of answer requirement mandating how quickly a VRS provider must answer an incoming VRS call. The Commission waived the application of its traditional speed of answer rule for VRS to allow providers to gain experience in their ability to handle VRS traffic. However, this issue is raised in a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM) the Commission released on June 30, 2004 (FCC 04-137). The Commission sought further comment on the speed of answer issue in a Public Notice released on February 8, 2005 (DA 05-339). The speed of answer issue for VRS will also be addressed in a future order.


No. of Copies rec'd 2
List ABCDE

The Commission has always been, and continues to be, supportive of the provision of VRS. We encourage Ms. Ahmed to actively participate in proceedings before the Commission to ensure that her opinions are expressed and considered fully. The Commission has available an e-mail service designed to apprise consumers about developments at the Commission, to disseminate consumer information materials prepared by the Commission to a wide audience, and to invite comments from other parties on Commission regulatory proposals. This free service enables consumers to subscribe to and receive FCC fact sheets, consumer brochures and alerts, and public notices, among other consumer information. To subscribe, Mr. Ahmed should visit the FCC Consumer Information Registry at <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/contacts/>.

The Commission also invites Ms. Ahmed to visit the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau's Internet web site at <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb> or the Commission's Home Page located at <http://www.fcc.gov>.

A copy of Ms. Ahmed's correspondence has been placed in the public record for this proceeding. The Commission appreciates your inquiry. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have further questions.

Sincerely,



Jay Keithley
Deputy Bureau Chief
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau



ar-27-05

03:55pm

From-Honorable Chris Van Hollen

3014245992

T-387 P.001/004 F-331

**DISTRICT OFFICE OF
CONGRESSMAN CHRIS VAN HOLLEN**51 Monroe Street, Suite 507
Rockville, MD 20850

Phone: (301) 424-3501 Fax: (301) 424-5992

*CEB
Policy
Disb.
931*Date: 4/27To: FCCFax: (202) 418-2806

Phone: _____

From: Sumi Siram

Notes:

Number of Pages: 4 (including cover)

RECEIVED TIME APR. 27. 4:40PM

PRINT TIME APR. 27. 4:41PM

CHRIS VAN HOLLEN
9TH DISTRICT, MARYLAND

COMMITTEE ON
EDUCATION AND THE WORKFORCE

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515

April 25, 2005

1419 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-8341

DISTRICT OFFICES:
51 MONROE STREET, #507
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850
(301) 424-3501

3405 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE
MOUNT RAINIER, MD 20712
(301) 927-5223

www.house.gov/vanhollen

Ms. Diane Atkinson
Congressional Liaison Specialist
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Room 8-C453
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Ms. Atkinson:

I am writing on behalf of my constituent, Merime Ahmed, regarding suggestions to improve Videophone Relay Service.


I would appreciate your reviewing the attached letter from Merime and advising me of your findings. All correspondence can be sent to the following address:

51 Monroe Street, Suite 507
Rockville, MD 20850
FAX: (301) 424-5992

If you need additional information, please contact Sumitra Siram in my Rockville office at (301) 424-3501.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,



Chris Van Hollen
Member of Congress

CVH/ss

RECEIVED TIME APR. 27. 4:40PM

PRINT TIME APR. 27. 4:41PM

THIS STATIONERY PRINTED ON PAPER MADE OF RECYCLED FIBER

Merime Ahmed
4145 Garrett Park Rd
Silver Spring, MD 20906

April 11, 2005

Chris Van Hollen
51 Monroe Street, Suite 507
Rockville, MD 20850

Dear Representative Chris Van Hollen,


I am writing to ask your help to improve Videophone Relay Service. I have written a letter to Federal Communications Commission and I have enclosed this letter for you.

The VP-100 means a machine that a person can use through internet connection and call another person. It is an useful machine for any deaf person because a deaf person can sign to the VP camera lens and she or he can see another person on the screen when she or he calls and signing with American Sign Language.

I think that we should use VP-100, and D- link both no matter what and can be able to talk to any VRS. It should be better to involve VP-100, and D- link, and VRS to work with one-way system. That's all easy to save time to connect up with each other. And add more VRS operators as there are few working now.

I hope that you will support us and solve this problem.

Sincerely yours


Merime Ahmed

RECEIVED

APR 15 2005

By office of
Congressman Van Hollen

Merime Ahmed
4145 Garrett Park Rd
Silver Spring, MD 20906

April 11, 2005

Federal Communication Commission
Attn: Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau, Consumer Complaints
445 12th Street S.W.
Washington D.C. 20554

Dear FCC,

The VRS (Video Relay Server) is big problem because when I called my family members for such as emergency; or talking to friends; and order for food. Sometimes I use VRS but I have to wait in about 20 minutes to connect with a VRS operator.

Connecting to a VRS operator must be fast not slow that I don't like. But I thought it is great that commutating with the operator through ASL. I would to suggest the VRS should to fix or change new technology to help VRS better.

I like to use VP-100 as I can talk to another person directly if she has VP-100. VRS use same VP-100 but they are different because I will not see hearing person on another screen. While on VP-100, I can see my friends or whoever I talk to on the screen.

What's more, time is so fast in connecting between people who have to the same use VP-100 for only. But I wonder why we could not connect to other people who use D-link easily like VP-100. Suppose if my friend uses D-link and there is a possible emergency and I have to call my D-link friend, it will be hard for me to call because of different way of dialing.

I think that we should use VP-100, and D- link both no matter what and can be able to talk to any VRS. It should be better to involve VP-100, and D- link, and VRS to work with one-way system. That's all easy to save time to connect up with each other. And add more VRS operators as there are few working now.

I hope that you will support us and solve this problem.

Sincerely yours,


Merime Ahmed

CC: Senator Barbara A. Mikulski
Senator Paul Sarbanes
House of Representative Chris Van Hollen

RECEIVED TIME APR. 27. 4:40PM

PRINT TIME APR. 27. 4:41PM